

# Operator Representations of a $q$ -Deformed Heisenberg Algebra

Konrad Schmüdgen

Universität Leipzig,

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik und NTZ,

Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany

**Abstract.** *A class of well-behaved  $*$ -representations of a  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra introduced in refs. 10 and 3 is studied and classified.*

The idea to develop a  $q$ -deformed quantum mechanics by using quantum groups has been investigated in several papers<sup>2,3,6,11,13</sup>. Such approaches are usually based on a  $q$ -deformed phase space algebra which is derived from the noncommutative differential calculus of the  $q$ -deformed configuration space<sup>7,14</sup>. Following the standard procedure in quantum mechanics one has to represent the  $q$ -deformed position and momentum operators by essentially self-adjoint operators acting on a Hilbert space. More precisely, one has to find appropriate  $*$ -representations of the phase space  $*$ -algebra by unbounded operators in a Hilbert space. In the case of general Euclidean or Minkowski phase spaces the study and classification of these  $*$ -representations turns out to be technically complicated because of the many relations and also because of the various difficulties concerning unbounded operators.

The aim of this paper is to give a rigorous treatment of well-behaved operator representations for one of the simplest example - the one-dimensional  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra which was invented in refs. 11 and 3. Representations of this algebra have been investigated in ref. 3. Since this  $*$ -algebra occurs as a subalgebra of other larger  $*$ -algebras, the study of general not necessarily irreducible  $*$ -representations seems to be important as well. We shall develop and analyze an operator-theoretic model for such general representations of the  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra. This model might be used as a tool kit for the study of representations of larger  $*$ -algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. Section I contains the definition and some simple algebraic properties of the  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . In Section II we develop a general operator-theoretic model for certain triples of operators which will lead in Section

V to representations of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . In Section III the irreducibility and the unitary equivalence of these operator triples are investigated and a number of examples are treated. In Section IV we give a characterization of these operator triples by a number of natural conditions. In Section V we define the self-adjoint  $*$ -representations of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  obtained by means of these operator triples.

In a forthcoming paper we shall study the spectrum of the operator  $X$ . For this analysis the  $q$ -Fourier transform<sup>5,4</sup> will play a crucial role.

## I. The $q$ -Heisenberg algebra

For a positive real number  $q \neq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  denote the complex unital algebra with four generators  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{-1}$  subject to the defining relations

$$\mathbf{u}\mathbf{p} = q \mathbf{p}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x} = q^{-1}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^{-1} = \mathbf{u}^{-1}\mathbf{u} = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x} - q \mathbf{x}\mathbf{p} = i(q^{3/2} - q^{-1/2})\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{p} - q \mathbf{p}\mathbf{x} = -i(q^{3/2} - q^{-1/2})\mathbf{u}^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where  $i$  denotes the imaginary unit. An equivalent set of relations is obtained if (2) is replaced by

$$\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x} = i q^{1/2}\mathbf{u}^{-1} - i q^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{p} = i q^{-1/2}\mathbf{u}^{-1} - i q^{1/2}\mathbf{u}. \quad (2)'$$

From (1) and (2)' it follows that the set of elements  $\{\mathbf{p}^r \mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{x}^s \mathbf{u}^n; r \in \mathbb{N}_0, s \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a vector space basis of  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ .

The algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  becomes a  $*$ -algebra with involution defined on the generators by

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, it suffices to check that the defining relations (1) and (2)' of  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  are invariant under the involution (3) which is easily done.

From (1), (2)' and (3) we conclude that there are  $*$ -isomorphisms  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  of the  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(q^{-1})$  such that

$$\rho_1(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{p}, \rho_1(\mathbf{p})=\mathbf{x}, \rho_1(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{u} \text{ and } \rho_2(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}, \rho_2(\mathbf{p})=\mathbf{p}, \rho_2(\mathbf{u})=-\mathbf{u}^*.$$

Because the  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(q^{-1})$  are isomorphic, we shall assume in what follows that  $0 < q < 1$ .

## II. An operator-theoretic model

**II.1.** Let  $\mu_1$  be a finite positive Borel measure on the interval  $[q, 1)$ . The measure  $\mu_1$  extends uniquely to a Borel measure  $\mu$  on the half-axis  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$  by setting  $\mu(q^n \mathcal{M}) := q^n \mu_1(\mathcal{M})$  for any Borel subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $[q, 1)$ . Then  $\mu$  has obviously the property that  $\mu(q\mathcal{N}) = q\mu(\mathcal{N})$  for an arbitrary Borel subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  or equivalently that  $\frac{d\mu(qt)}{qt} = \frac{d\mu(t)}{t}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . We shall work with the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu)$  and  $\mathfrak{H} := L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$ . First we define three linear operators  $U, P$  and  $X$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ :

- (i)  $(Uf)(t) = q^{1/2}f(qt)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,
- (ii)  $(Pf)(t) = tf(t)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(P) := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : tf(t) \in \mathcal{H}\}$ ,
- (iii)  $(Xf)(t) = i t^{-1}(f(q^{-1}t) - f(qt))$  for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X) := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : t^{-1}f(t) \in \mathcal{H}\}$ .

These operators will play a crucial role throughout this paper. Roughly speaking and ignoring technical subtleties (domains, boundary conditions etc.), we shall show that for all "well-behaved"  $*$ -representations of the  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  the images of the generators  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  act by the same formulas as the operators  $U, P$  and  $X$ , respectively.

Obviously,  $P$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Using the relation  $\frac{d\mu(qt)}{qt} = \frac{d\mu(t)}{t}$  one easily verifies that  $U$  is a unitary operator and that  $X$  is a symmetric operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_0$  be the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{supp } f \in [a, b]$  for some  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . (Note that  $a$  and  $b$  may depend on  $f$ .) Clearly,  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is dense linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  which is invariant under  $U, P$  and  $X$ . It is straightforward to check that the operators  $P, X, U$  applied to functions  $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$  satisfy the defining relations (1), (2) and (3) of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . It turns out that the symmetric operator  $X$  is not essentially self-adjoint. Our next aim is to characterize the domain of the adjoint operator  $X^*$ .

For  $f \in \mathfrak{H} = L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$  let  $f^e$  and  $f^o$  be the functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$f^e(q^{2n}t) = f^o(q^{2n+1}t) = f(t) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0, t \in [q, 1) \text{ and } f^e(t) = f^o(t) = 0 \text{ otherwise.} \quad (4)$$

Clearly,  $f^e$  and  $f^o$  are in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu)$  and we have  $U(f^e) - q^{1/2}f^o \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $Uf^o - q^{1/2}f^e \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}_e$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_o$  denote the set of functions  $f^e$  and  $f^o$ , respectively, where  $f \in \mathfrak{H} = L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The domain  $\mathcal{D}(X^*)$  is the direct sum of vector spaces  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}_e$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_o$ .*

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check that  $\mathcal{D}(X) + \mathfrak{H}_e + \mathfrak{H}_o \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X^*)$ . In order to prove the converse, let  $g \in \mathcal{D}(X^*)$ . Then, by definition there is an  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\langle Xf, g \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Inserting the definition of  $X$  and using once more the fact that  $\frac{d\mu(qt)}{qt} = \frac{d\mu(t)}{t}$  we easily conclude that  $h(t) = it^{-1}(g(q^{-1}t) - g(qt))$ . For a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  let  $f_n$  denote the function in  $L^2([q, 1), \mu_1^+)$  given by  $f_n(t) = f(q^n t)$ . Then we get

$$\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu)}^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|h_n\|^2 q^n \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|g_{n+1} - g_{n-1}\|^2}{q^{2n}} q^n$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we set  $\alpha_n := \|g_{n+1} - g_{n-1}\| q^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ . Since  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ , the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  is in  $l_2$ . From the inequality

$$\|g_{2r} - g_{2s}\| \leq \alpha_{2r+1} q^{\frac{2r+1}{2}} + \cdots + \alpha_{2s+1} q^{\frac{2s+1}{2}}$$

we obtain

$$\|g_{2r} - g_{2s}\|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{i=2s+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^2 \right) q^{2s+1} (1 - q^2)^{-1}, r \geq s. \quad (5)$$

Since  $(\alpha_n) \in l_2$ , this implies that the sequence  $(g_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in the Hilbert space  $L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$ . Let us denote its limit by  $\xi$ . We extend  $\xi$  to a function  $\xi^e$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  by setting  $\xi^e(q^{2n}t) := \xi(t)$  and  $\xi^e(q^{2n+1}t) := 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $t \in [q, 1)$  and  $\xi^e(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Replacing even indices by odd indices, a similar reasoning yields functions  $\zeta \in L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$  and  $\zeta^o$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\zeta^o(q^{2n+1}t) = \zeta(t)$  and  $\zeta^o(q^{2n}t) = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \in [q, 1)$  and  $\zeta^o(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 1$ . By construction,  $\xi^e \in \mathfrak{H}_e$  and  $\zeta^o \in \mathfrak{H}_o$ . Our proof is complete once we have shown that  $f := g - \xi^e - \zeta^o$  belongs to the domain  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  of the operator  $X$ .

Letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (5), we get

$$\|\xi - g_{2s}\|^2 \leq q^{2s+1} (1 - q^2)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2n}|^2. \quad (6)$$

From (6) and the corresponding estimation of  $\|\zeta - g_{2s+1}\|^2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|t^{-1} f_n(t)\|^2 q^n &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|f_n\|^2}{q^{2n+2}} q^n = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\xi - g_{2\tau}\|^2}{q^{2\tau+2}} + \frac{\|\zeta - g_{2\tau+1}\|^2}{q^{2\tau+3}} \\ &= (q - q^3)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(t) = g(t)$  for  $t \geq 1$ , this inequality implies that the functions  $t^{-1}f(t)$  and  $f(t)$  are in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mu)$ . Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .  $\blacksquare$

As shown in the preceding proof, for any function  $g \in \mathcal{D}(X^*)$  the "even components"  $g_{2n}$  and the "odd components"  $g_{2n+1}$  both have "boundary limits"  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$  in  $L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$ . By Lemma 1, any element  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X^*)$  is of the form  $f = f_X + f^e + f^o$  with uniquely determined functions  $f_X \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $f^e \in \mathcal{H}_e$  and  $f^o \in \mathcal{H}_o$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_e$  and  $\mathcal{H}_o$ , there exist unique functions  $f_e, f_o \in \mathfrak{H} = L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$  such that  $(f_e)^e = f^e$  and  $(f_o)^o = f^o$ , where the function  $(f_e)^e$  and  $(f_o)^o$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  are given by (4). This notation will be kept in the sequel.

Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the scalar products of the Hilbert spaces  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu)$  and  $L^2([q, 1), t^{-1}\mu_1)$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.** *For arbitrary functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(X^*)$  we have*

$$\langle X^*f, g \rangle - \langle f, X^*g \rangle = \frac{1}{2i} \{ (f_e + f_o, g_e + g_o) - (f_e - f_o, g_e - g_o) \}. \quad (7)_+$$

**Proof.** Let  $h \in L^2([q, 1), \mu_1)$ . From the definitions of the operator  $X$  and of the functions  $h^e, h^o \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  we easily derive that  $(X^*h^e)(t) = -it^{-1}h(qt)$  for  $t \in [1, q^{-1})$ ,  $(X^*h^e)(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [1, q^{-1})$ ,  $(X^*h^o)(t) = -it^{-1}h(t)$  for  $t \in [q, 1)$  and  $(X^*h^o)(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [q, 1)$ . Inserting these expressions and using the symmetry of the operator  $X$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X^*f, g \rangle - \langle f, X^*g \rangle &= \langle X^*f_o, g_e \rangle - \langle f_e, X^*g_o \rangle \\ &= -i \int_q^1 (f_o(t) \overline{g_e(t)} + f_e(t) \overline{g_o(t)}) t^{-1} d\mu(t) \\ &= -i \{ (f_o, g_e) + (f_e, g_o) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \{ (f_e + f_o, g_e + g_o) - (f_e - f_o, g_e - g_o) \}. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Let us illustrate the preceding by the simplest example.

**Example 1.** Let  $\mu_1$  be the Delta measure  $\delta_a$ , where  $a$  is a fixed number from the interval  $[q, 1)$ . Then the measure  $\mu$  is supported on the points  $aq^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and we have  $\mu(\{aq^n\}) =$

$q^n \mu(\{a\}) = q^n$ . Hence the scalar product of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu)$  is given by the Jackson integral

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} f(aq^n) \overline{g(aq^n)} q^n.$$

Let  $e_n \in \mathcal{H}$  be the function  $e_n(t) = q^{-\frac{n}{2}} \delta_{aq^n}^t$ , where  $\delta_s^t$  is the usual Kronecker symbol. Then the vectors  $e_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , form an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  and the actions of the operators  $U, P, X$  on these vectors are given by

$$Ue_n = e_{n-1}, \quad Pe_n = aq^n e_n, \quad Xe_n = \frac{i}{aq^n} \left( q^{-1/2} e_{n+1} - q^{1/2} e_{n-1} \right).$$

These equations are in accordance with formulas (5) in ref. 3. If  $f$  is the function in  $L^2([q, 1), \mu_1) \cong \mathbb{C}$  with  $f(a)=1$ , then by definition  $f^e(aq^{2n}) = f^o(aq^{2n+1}) = 1, f^e(aq^{2n+1}) = f^o(aq^{2n}) = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $f^e(t) = f^o(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{D}(X^*) = \mathcal{D}(X) + \mathbb{C} \cdot f^e + \mathbb{C} \cdot f^o$  by Lemma 1 and formula (7)<sub>+</sub> reads as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle X^*(\varphi + \alpha_1 f^e + \beta_1 f^o), \psi + \alpha_2 f^e + \beta_2 f^o \rangle - \langle \varphi + \alpha_1 f^e + \beta_1 f^o, X^*(\psi + \alpha_2 f^e + \beta_2 f^o) \rangle \\ &= -i a^{-1} \{ \beta_1 \bar{\alpha}_2 + \alpha_1 \bar{\beta}_2 \} = \frac{1}{2ia} \{ (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \overline{(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} - (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) \overline{(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)} \} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . ■

**II.2** The above considerations carry over almost verbatim to the case where the positive half-axis  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is replaced by the negative half-axis  $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0)$ . Any positive finite Borel measure  $\mu_1$  on the intervall  $[q, 1)$  induces a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_-$  by defining  $\mu(-q^n \mathcal{M}) := q^n \mu_1(\mathcal{M})$  for a Borel subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $[q, 1)$ . The operators  $U, P, X$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_- := L^2(\mathbb{R}_-, \mu)$  are defined by the same formulas as in the preceding subsection and Lemma 1 and its proof remain valid in this case as well. However, there is an essential difference which will be crucial in the sequel: Since in the proof of Lemma 2 the integration is over the intervall  $(-1, -q]$ , the expression on the right hand side of (7)<sub>+</sub> must be multiplied by  $-1$ . That is, instead of (7)<sub>+</sub> we now have

$$\langle X^* f, g \rangle - \langle f, X^* g \rangle = \frac{1}{2i} \{ (f_e + f_o, g_e + g_o) - (f_e - f_o, g_e - g_o) \} \quad (7)_{-}$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(X^*)$ .

**II.3** After the preceding preparations we are now able to develop the operator-theoretic model for the description of  $*$ -representations of the  $q$ -Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . For this let us fix two families  $\{\mu_1^{j,+}; j \in I_+\}$  and  $\{\mu_1^{j,-}; j \in I_-\}$  of finite positive Borel measures on the intervall  $[q, 1)$ .

As above, we define the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{j,\pm} := L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm, \mu^{j,\pm})$ ,  $j \in I_\pm$ , and the operators  $U_{j,\pm}, P_{j,\pm}, X_{j,\pm}$  acting therein. We shall work with the representation Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_+ := \bigoplus_{j \in I_+} \mathcal{H}_{j,+}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_- := \bigoplus_{j \in I_-} \mathcal{H}_{j,-}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are pairs  $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{f}^+, \mathbf{f}^-)$ , where  $\mathbf{f}^+ = (f^{j,+}; j \in I_+) \in \mathcal{H}_+$  and  $\mathbf{f}^- = (f^{j,-}; j \in I_-) \in \mathcal{H}_-$ . Let  $U, P, X$  denote the operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  which are defined as the direct sums of the operators  $U_{j,+}, U_{j,-}; P_{j,+}, P_{j,-}; X_{j,+}, X_{j,-}$ , respectively. Clearly,  $U$  is a unitary operator and  $P$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The operator  $X$  is only symmetric, but not self-adjoint. Our next aim is to describe all self-adjoint extensions  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  which have the property that  $U\tilde{X}U^{-1} = q\tilde{X}$ .

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two unitary linear transformations of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_- := \bigoplus_{j \in I_-} L^2([q, 1), t^{-1}\mu_1^{j,-})$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_+ := \bigoplus_{j \in I_+} L^2([q, 1), t^{-1}\mu_1^{j,+})$ . We define a linear operator  $X_{V,W}$  as being the restriction of the adjoint operator  $X^*$  to the domain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W}) &:= \{\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_X + \mathbf{f}^e + \mathbf{f}^o \in \mathcal{D}(X^*) : \mathbf{f}_X \in \mathcal{D}(X), \\ \mathbf{f}_e^+ &= V(\mathbf{f}_e^- + \mathbf{f}_0^-) + W(\mathbf{f}_e^- - \mathbf{f}_0^-), \mathbf{f}_0^+ = V(\mathbf{f}_e^+ + \mathbf{f}_0^-) - W(\mathbf{f}_e^- - \mathbf{f}_0^-)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

**Proposition 3.**  $X_{V,W}$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $X \subseteq X_{V,W}$  and  $UX_{V,W}U^* = qX_{V,W}$ . In particular, we have  $U\mathcal{D}(X_{V,W}) = \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ . Conversely, for any self-adjoint extension  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  satisfying  $U\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$  there exist unitary transformations  $V, W$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_+$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_-$  such that  $\tilde{X} = X_{V,W}$ .

**Proof.** From (7)<sub>+</sub> and (7)<sub>-</sub> we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-2i(\langle X^*\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{f}, X^*\mathbf{g} \rangle) \\ &= (\mathbf{f}_e^+ + \mathbf{f}_o^+, \mathbf{g}_e^+ + \mathbf{g}_o^+) + (\mathbf{f}_e^- - \mathbf{f}_o^-, \mathbf{g}_e^- - \mathbf{g}_o^-) - (\mathbf{f}_e^- + \mathbf{f}_o^-, \mathbf{g}_e^- + \mathbf{g}_o^-) - (\mathbf{f}_e^+ - \mathbf{f}_o^+, \mathbf{g}_e^+ - \mathbf{g}_o^+). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for arbitrary elements  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_X + \mathbf{f}^e + \mathbf{f}^o$  and  $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_X + \mathbf{g}^e + \mathbf{g}^o$  of  $\mathcal{D}(X^*)$ . Here  $\mathbf{f}_e^+$  denotes the sequence  $(f_e^{j,+}; j \in I_+) \in \mathfrak{H}_+$  with  $f_e^{j,+} \in L^2([q, 1), \mu_1^j)$  such that the extension  $(f_e^{j,+})^e$

of  $f_e^{j,+}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  by means of formula (4) is just the  $(j, +)$ -component of the vector  $f^e \in \mathcal{H}$ . A similar meaning attached to the other symbols  $f_e^-, f_o^+, f_o^-, g_e^+, g_e^-, g_o^+, g_o^-$  occuring in (9). If  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ , then we have  $f_e^+ + f_o^- = V(f_e^- + f_o^-)$ ,  $g_e^+ + g_o^- = V(g_e^- + g_o^-)$ ,  $f_e^+ - f_o^+ = W(f_e^- - f_o^-)$  and  $g_e^+ - g_o^+ = W(g_e^- - g_o^-)$  by (8). Since  $X_{V,W} \subseteq X^*$ , we therefore obtain that  $\langle X_{V,W} f, g \rangle - \langle f, X_{V,W} g \rangle = 0$  by (9), that is, the operator  $X_{V,W}$  is symmetric. Now let  $g \in \mathcal{D}((X_{V,W})^*)$ . Since  $X \subseteq X_{V,W} \subseteq (X_{V,W})^* \subseteq X^*$ , we then have  $\langle X^* f, g \rangle = \langle f, X^* g \rangle$  and hence

$$(f_e^+ + f_o^+, g_e^+ + g_o^+) + (f_e^- - f_o^-, g_e^- - g_o^-) = (f_e^- + f_o^-, g_e^- + g_o^-) + (f_e^+ - f_o^+, g_e^+ - g_o^+) \quad (10)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$  by (9). Inserting (8) into (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_e^- + f_o^-, V^*(g_e^+ + g_o^-)) + (f_e^- - f_o^-, g_e^- - g_o^-) \\ &= (f_e^- + f_o^-, g_e^- + g_o^-) + (f_e^- - f_o^-, W^*(g_e^+ - g_o^+)). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From the construction it is clear that for arbitrary  $h, k \in \mathfrak{H}_-$  there exists  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$  such that  $f_e^- + f_o^- = h$  and  $f_e^- - f_o^- = k$ . Therefore, it follows from (11) that  $V^*(g_e^+ + g_o^-) = g_e^- + g_o^-$  and  $W^*(g_e^+ - g_o^+) = g_e^- - g_o^-$  which in turn implies that  $g \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ . Thus we have shown that the operator  $X_{V,W}$  is self-adjoint. From the relations  $U(f_e) - q^{1/2}f_o \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $U(f_o) - q^{1/2}f_e \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  we see that  $U\mathcal{D}(X_{V,W}) = \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ . Since  $UXU^* = qX$  and hence  $UX^*U^* = qX^*$  and  $X_{V,W}$  is the restriction of  $X^*$  to  $\mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ , the latter yields  $UX_{V,W}U^* = qX_{V,W}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\tilde{X}$  is a self-adjoint extension of  $X$  such that  $U\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ . Since  $\tilde{X}$  is symmetric, we have equation (10) for arbitrary elements  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ . By assumption,  $Uf \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $Uf$  in (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_e^+ + f_o^+, g_e^+ + g_o^+) + (f_o^- - f_e^-, g_e^- - g_o^-) \\ &= (f_e^- + f_o^-, g_e^- + g_o^-) + (f_o^+ - f_e^+, g_e^+ - g_o^+). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Setting  $f = g$  and combining formulas (10) and (12) we obtain

$$\|f_e^+ + f_o^+\| = \|f_e^- + f_o^-\| \text{ and } \|f_e^+ - f_o^+\| = \|f_e^- - f_o^-\| \quad (13)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ .



For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X^*)$  we abbreviate  $B_\pm(f) = (f_e^\pm + f_o^\pm, f_e^\pm - f_o^\pm)$ . The vector space  $B_\pm(\tilde{X}) = \{B_\pm(f) : f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})\}$  is called the "boundary space" of the operator  $\tilde{X}$ . We shall show that  $B_+(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{H}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{H}_+$  and  $B_-(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{H}_- \oplus \mathfrak{H}_-$ . First let us note that the spaces  $B_\pm(\tilde{X})$  are closed in  $\mathfrak{H}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{H}_\pm$ . Otherwise let  $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$  denote the restriction of  $X^*$  to the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\tilde{X}}) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(X^*) : B_\pm(f) \in \overline{B_\pm(\tilde{X})}\}$ , where the bar means the closure in the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{H}_\pm$ . The symmetry of an operator  $Y$  such that  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq X^*$  is equivalent to the validity of equation (10) for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ . Hence  $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$  is symmetric, because  $\tilde{X}$  is so. Since a self-adjoint operator has no proper symmetric extension, we conclude that  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{\tilde{X}}$  which means that  $B_+(\tilde{X})$  and  $B_-(\tilde{X})$  are closed. Next let us suppose that  $(\xi, \zeta) \perp B_+(\tilde{X})$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{H}_+$ . We then choose a vector  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$  such that  $\xi = g_e^+ + g_o^+, \zeta = g_e^+ - g_o^+$  and  $g_e^- = g_o^- = 0$ . Then the right-hand side of (9) vanishes for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ , so that  $\langle \tilde{X}f, g \rangle = \langle X^*f, g \rangle = \langle f, X^*g \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$  by (9). Consequently,  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}^*)$ . Since  $\tilde{X}$  is self-adjoint,  $g$  must be in  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ . Because  $(\xi, \zeta) \perp B_+(\tilde{X})$ , this implies that  $\xi = \zeta = 0$ . This proves that  $B_+(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{H}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{H}_+$ . Similarly  $B_-(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{H}_- \oplus \mathfrak{H}_-$ .

Since  $B_\pm(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{H}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{H}_\pm$  as just shown, it follows from (13) that there are unitary operators  $V$  and  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_-$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_+$  such that  $f_e^+ + f_o^+ = V(f_e^- + f_o^-)$  and  $f_e^+ - f_o^+ = W(f_e^- - f_o^-)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ . That is,  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ . Since  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X_{V,W}$  are self-adjoint, we conclude that  $\tilde{X} = X_{V,W}$ .  $\blacksquare$

### III. Irreducibility and unitary equivalence

**III.1** The next two propositions decide when a triple of operators  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  defined in the preceding section is irreducible and when two such triples are unitarily equivalent. Here we shall say that the triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is *irreducible* if any bounded operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying

$$PA \subseteq AP, X_{V,W}A \subseteq AX_{V,W} \text{ and } AU = UA \quad (14)$$

is a scalar multiple of the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Recall that the operator triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  depends on the two families  $\{\mu_1^{j,\pm}; j \in I_\pm\}$  of measures on the interval  $[q, 1)$  and on the two unitary operators  $V, W : \mathfrak{H}_- \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_+$ . In order to formulate the corresponding conditions it is convenient to work with the Hilbert

spaces  $\mathfrak{K}_\pm = \bigoplus_{j \in I_\pm} L^2([q, 1), \mu_1^{j, \pm})$  rather than with  $\mathfrak{H}_\pm = \bigoplus_{j \in I_\pm} L^2([q, 1), t^{-1} \mu_1^{j, \pm})$ . Further, let  $P_\pm$  denote the self-adjoint operator on  $\mathfrak{K}_\pm$  which acts componentwise as the multiplication by the variable  $t$ . Clearly,  $V$  and  $W$  are bounded linear operators of  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  to  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  such that

$$V' := P_+^{1/2} V P_-^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad W' := P_+^{1/2} W P_-^{-1/2} \quad (15)$$

are unitary.

**Proposition 4.** *The triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  as defined above is irreducible if and only if any bounded self-adjoint operators  $A_+$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  and  $A_-$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  satisfying*

$$A_+ P_+ = P_+ A_+, A_- P_- = P_- A_-, A_+ V' = V' A_-, A_+ W' = W' A_- \quad (16)$$

*or equivalently*

$$A_+ P_+ = P_+ A_+, A_- P_- = P_- A_-, A_+ V = V A_+, A_+ W = W A_- \quad (17)$$

*are scalar multiples of the identity.*

**Proof.** We only show that the above condition implies the irreducibility of the triple. The proof of the converse implication is easier and will be omitted. Suppose that  $A$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying (14). Since the set of such  $A$  is invariant under the involution, we can assume that  $A$  is self-adjoint. Let  $E(\cdot)$  denote the spectral projections of  $P$ . Since  $PA \subseteq AP$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{K}_+ = E([q, 1))\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  reduces  $A$  and the restriction  $A_+$  of  $A$  to  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  commutes with the restriction  $P_+$  of  $P$  to  $\mathfrak{K}_+$ . Similarly, the restrictions  $\tilde{A}_-$  of  $A$  and  $\tilde{P}_-$  of  $P$  to the reducing subspace  $E((-1, q])\mathcal{H}$  commute. Changing the variable from  $t$  to  $-t$ , the Hilbert space  $E((-1, q])\mathcal{H}$  and the operator  $\tilde{P}_-$  become  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  and  $P_-$ , respectively, and the operator  $\tilde{A}_-$  goes into an operator, say  $A_-$ , on  $\mathfrak{K}_-$ . Thus,  $A_- P_- = P_- A_-$ . From the assumptions  $AU = UA$  and  $X_{V,W}A \subseteq AX_{V,W}$  it follows easily that  $(A\mathfrak{f})_e^\pm = A_\pm \mathfrak{f}_e^\pm$  and  $(A\mathfrak{f})_o^\pm = A_\pm \mathfrak{f}_o^\pm$  for  $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$ . Since  $A\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$  has to satisfy the relation (8), we obtain  $A_+ V = V A_-$  and  $A_- W = W A_-$ . Therefore, by the above condition,  $A_\pm = \lambda_\pm I$  for some  $\lambda_\pm \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $A_+ V = V A_-$  and  $AU = UA$ , it follows that  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$  and  $A = \lambda_+ \cdot I$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . ■

Using similar operator-theoretic arguments it is not difficult to prove

**Proposition 5.** *Two triples  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  and  $\{\tilde{P}, X_{\tilde{V},\tilde{W}}, \tilde{U}\}$  are unitarily equivalent if and only if there unitary operators  $A_+$  of  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}_+$  and  $A_-$  of  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}_-$  such that*

$$A_+P_+ = \tilde{P}_+A_+, A_-P_- = \tilde{P}_-A_-, A_+V = \tilde{V}A_- \text{ and } A_+W = \tilde{W}A_- , \quad (18)$$

where the tilde refers to the corresponding operators and spaces for the triple  $\{\tilde{P}, X_{\tilde{V},\tilde{W}}, \tilde{U}\}$ .

**III.2.** We shall illustrate the preceding by describing a few examples of irreducible representations. We begin with the simplest possible case.

**Example 2.** Suppose that the Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  are one-dimensional. Then the families of measure  $\{\mu_i^{j,+}; i \in I_+\}$  and  $\{\mu_1^{j,-}; j \in I_-\}$  consist only of single Dirac measures  $\delta_a$  and  $\delta_b$ , respectively, where  $a, b \in [q, 1)$ . Then the triples  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  are parametrized by complex numbers  $V = V' = e^{i\varphi}$  and  $W = W' = e^{i\psi}$ ,  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$ . The self-adjoint extension  $X_{V,W}$  is then characterized by the boundary condition (8), that is,

$$f_e^+ + f_o^+ = e^{i\varphi}(f_e^- + f_o^-), \quad f_e^+ - f_o^+ = e^{i\psi}(f_e^- - f_o^-).$$

Each such triple is irreducible because the condition in Proposition 4 is trivially fulfilled. Two triples with different pairs of numbers  $(V, W)$  are not unitary equivalent. The case where  $e^{i\varphi} = e^{i\psi} = 1$  and  $a = b$  has been treated in detail in ref. 3. ■

**Example 3.** Let  $P_+$  be a self-adjoint operator and  $Z$  a unitary operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  such that the commutant  $\{P_+, Z\}'$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C} \cdot I$ . Such operators exist on any separable Hilbert space<sup>12</sup>. Upon scaling we can assume that the spectrum of  $P_+$  is contained in  $[q, 1)$ . By the spectral representation theorem<sup>1, ch. X, 5.</sup>, we can represent  $P_+$  up to unitary equivalence as the multiplication operator by the independent variable  $t$  on some direct sum Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \bigoplus_{j \in I_+} L^2([q, 1); \mu_1^{j,+})$ . Let  $\{\mu_1^{j,-}; j \in I_-\}$  be an arbitrary family of measures on  $[q, 1)$  such that  $\dim \mathfrak{K}_+ = \dim \mathfrak{K}_-$ , where  $\mathfrak{K}_- := \bigoplus_{j \in I_-} L^2([q, 1); \mu_1^{j,-})$ . Let  $W'$  be a unitary operator from  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  to  $\mathfrak{K}_+$ . We set  $V' := ZW'$  and define  $V$  and  $W$  by (15). Then the triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  is irreducible.

Indeed, if  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  be bounded self-adjoint operators satisfying (17), then we have  $A_+Z = A_+V'W'^* = V'A_-W'^* = V'W'^*A_+ = ZA_+$  and  $A_+P_+ = P_+A_+$ , so that

$A_+ = \lambda \cdot I$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and hence  $A_- = V'^* A_+ V' = \lambda \cdot I$ . By Proposition 4, the triple is irreducible. ■

**Example 4.** For this example we assume that there exist numbers  $a, b \in [q, 1)$  such that  $\mu_1^{j,+} = \delta_a$  and  $\mu_1^{k,-} = \delta_b$  for all  $j \in I_+$  and  $k \in I_-$ . We shall show that in this case an irreducible triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  can be only obtained if both index sets  $I_+$  and  $I_-$  are singletons or equivalently if  $\dim \mathfrak{K}_+ = \dim \mathfrak{K}_- = 1$ . Indeed, otherwise we take a self-adjoint operator  $A_+$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  such that  $A_+ V' W'^* = V' W'^* A_+$  and  $A_+ \notin \mathbb{C} \cdot I$  and set  $A_- := V'^* A_+ V'$ . Then the conditions (16) are fulfilled, hence the triple is not irreducible. ■

**Example 5.** If the spectra of the operators  $P_+$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  and  $P_-$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  are singletons, then we have seen in Example 4 that irreducible triples exist only in the trivial case where  $I_+$  and  $I_-$  are singletons. We now show that this is no longer true if both spectra consist of two points. To be more precise, we shall consider the following situation: The index sets  $I_\pm$  are disjoint union of two countable infinite sets  $I_\pm^1$  and  $I_\pm^2$  and there are numbers  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in [q, 1)$ ,  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , such that  $\mu_1^{j,+} = \delta_{a_1}$  for  $j \in I_+^1$ ,  $\mu_1^{j,+} = \delta_{a_2}$  for  $j \in I_+^2$ ,  $\mu_1^{j,-} = \delta_{b_1}$  for  $j \in I_-^1$  and  $\mu_1^{j,-} = \delta_{b_2}$  for  $j \in I_-^2$ . By identifying  $I_\pm^j$  with the natural numbers the Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_-$  become the direct sum  $l_2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus l_2(\mathbb{N})$  of two  $l_2$ -spaces. We choose a bounded operator  $T$  on  $l_2(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\{T, T^*\}' = \mathbb{C} \cdot I$  and  $I \leq 3T^*T \leq 2 \cdot I$  and  $I \leq 3T T^* \leq 2 \cdot I$ . It is well-known (see ref. 8, Anhang, §4) that the operator matrix

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} T & \sqrt{I - T T^*} \\ -\sqrt{I - T^* T} & T^* \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a unitary operator  $Z$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{K}_- = l_2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus l_2(\mathbb{N})$ . Let  $W'$  be an arbitrary unitary operator on  $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{K}_-$  and set  $V' := ZW'$ . Then the triple  $(P, X_{V,W}, U)$  is irreducible.

Indeed, let  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  be self-adjoint bounded operators on  $\mathfrak{K}_+ = \mathfrak{K}_-$  satisfying (17). Since  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , the relation  $A_+ P_+ = P_+ A_+$  implies that  $A_+$  is given by a diagonal operator matrix

$$A_+ = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (17) we get  $A_+ Z = Z A_+$ . Comparing the matrix entries of the first line yields  $BT = TB$  and  $B\sqrt{I - T^* T} = \sqrt{I - T^* T} C$ . Since  $B = B^*$ , we have  $BT^* = T^* B$ . Therefore,

$B$  commutes with  $T$  and  $T^*$  and so with  $\sqrt{I - T^*T}$  which in turn gives  $\sqrt{I - T^*T} B = \sqrt{I - T^*T} C$ . Because  $\sqrt{I - T^*T}$  is invertible, we get  $B = C$ . Since  $B \in \{T, T^*\}'$ , we obtain  $B = C = \lambda \cdot I$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $A_+ = \lambda \cdot I$  and  $A_- = V'^* A_+ V = \lambda \cdot I$ , so that the triple is irreducible by Proposition 4.  $\blacksquare$

#### IV. A characterization of the operator triples

Let  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  be an operator triple as in section II and let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  be the set of all vectors  $f = f_X + f^e + f^o \in \mathcal{D}(X_{V,W})$  with  $f_X \in \mathcal{D}_o$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_o$  is as defined in Section II. Then  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is a dense linear subspace of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is invariant under the operators  $P, X_{V,W}, U$  and the restrictions of  $P$  and  $X_{V,W}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_1$  are essentially self-adjoint. Further, the three operators  $P, X_{V,W}, U$  applied to vectors  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$  satisfy the relations (1) and (2). From the construction it is clear that the range  $E([q, 1))\mathcal{H} (\cong \mathfrak{K}_+)$  of the spectral projection  $E([q, 1))$  of the operator  $P$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}_1$ . Our next proposition says that the operator triples  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  can be characterized by some of the properties just mentioned.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $\{P', X', U'\}$  be a triple of two self-adjoint operators  $P'$  and  $X'$  and a unitary operator  $U'$  on a Hilbert space  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . Let  $E(\cdot)$  denote the spectral measure of  $P'$ . Suppose that there exists a linear subspace  $\mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}(P'X') \cap \mathcal{D}(X'P')$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that:*

- (i)  $E([q, 1))\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $E((-1, -q])\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1$ .
- (ii) The operators  $P', X', U'$  satisfy the relations (1) and (2) for vectors in  $\mathcal{D}_1$ .
- (iii) The restrictions  $P'|_{\mathcal{D}_1}$  and  $X'|_{\mathcal{D}_1}$  of  $P'$  and  $X'$  to  $\mathcal{D}_1$  are essentially self-adjoint.

*Then  $\{P', X', U'\}$  is unitarily equivalent to an operator triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  defined in Section II.*

**Sketch of proof.** The restriction  $P'_1$  of  $P'$  to the invariant subspace  $\mathcal{H}_1 := E([q, 1))\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  is obviously a bounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_1$  with spectrum contained in the interval  $[q, 1]$ . By the spectral representation theorem<sup>1</sup>, there is a family  $\{\mu_1^{j,+}; j \in I_+\}$  of finite positive Borel measures on  $[q, 1]$  and a unitary isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_+ := \bigoplus_j L^2([q, 1], \mu_1^{j,+})$  such that  $P'_1$  is unitarily equivalent to the operator  $P_1$  on  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  which acts componentwise as the multiplication by the variable  $t$ . Since 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $P'_1$

by construction, we have  $\mu_1^{j,+}(\{1\}) = 0$  for all  $j \in I_+$ . For simplicity let us identify  $\mathcal{H}_1$  with  $\mathfrak{K}_+$  and  $P'_1$  with  $P_1$ .

Next we show that  $\ker P' = \{0\}$ . Let  $f \in \ker P'$ . Since  $P'|_{\mathcal{D}_1}$  is essentially self-adjoint by (iii), there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of vectors  $f_n \in \mathcal{D}_1$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $P'f_n \rightarrow P'f = 0$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $X'P'f_n = i(q^{1/2}U'^* + q^{1/2}U')f_n$  by (ii) and the operators  $U'$  and  $U'^*$  are bounded, we obtain  $(q^{-1/2}U'^* + q^{1/2}U')f = 0$  in the limit. This in turn yields that  $q\|f\| = \|f\|$  and so  $f = 0$ .

By (ii), we have  $U'P'f = qP'U'f$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . Since  $P'|_{\mathcal{D}_1}$  is essentially self-adjoint, this remains valid for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(P')$ , so that  $P' \subseteq qU'^*P'U'$ . Since  $P'$  is self-adjoint, we conclude that  $P' = qU'^*P'U'$ . Hence we have  $U'^n E(\mathfrak{N}) = E(q^{-n}\mathfrak{N})$  for any Borel subset  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mu^{j,+}$  be the extension of the measure  $\mu_1^{j,+}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  as in II.1. From the preceding considerations it follows that  $E(\mathbb{R}_+)\mathcal{H} = \oplus_j L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu^{j,+}) \equiv \mathcal{H}_+$  and that  $U'$  acts in each component by formula (i) in subsection II.1. Proceeding in a similar manner, we obtain a family  $\{\mu_1^{j,-}; j \in I_-\}$  of measures on  $[q, 1]$  such that  $\mu_1^{j,-}(\{1\}) = 0$  for  $j \in I_-$ ,  $E(\mathbb{R}_-)\mathcal{H} = \oplus_j L^2(\mathbb{R}_-, \mu^{j,-}) \equiv \mathcal{H}_-$  in the notation of Section II and  $U'$  acts componentwise as given by formula (i) in II.1. Since  $E(\{0\})\mathcal{H} = \ker P' = \{0\}$  as proved in the preceding paragraph, we conclude that  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ .

From the construction it is clear that  $P'$  and  $U'$  are the operators  $P$  and  $U$ , respectively, as in Section II. Let us finally turn to the operator  $X'$ . Recall that we have  $X'P'f = i(q^{-1/2}U'^* + q^{1/2}U')f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . By arguing as the paragraph before last, this relation remains valid for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(P')$ . If  $f$  denotes a component of the vector  $f$ , then the preceding equation yields that  $g := tf \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $t^{-1}g = f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(X'g)(t) = i(q^{-1}f(q^{-1}t) - qf(qt)) = it^{-1}(g(q^{-1}t) - g(qt)) = (Xg)(t)$ . Hence  $X'f = Xf$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(P')$ . Since  $X'|_{\mathcal{D}_1}$  is essentially self-adjoint, the relation  $U'X'f = q^{-1}X'U'f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$  by (ii) extends to vectors  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X')$ , so that  $U'X'U'^* = q^{-1}X'$ . Thus,  $X'$  is a self-adjoint extension of the operator  $X$  such that  $U\mathcal{D}(X') = \mathcal{D}(X')$ . By Proposition 3,  $X'$  is of the form  $X_{V,W}$ . ■

## V. \*-Representations of the $q$ -Heisenberg algebra

**V.1** We have considered so far only operator triples and operator relations rather than

representations of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . But any operator triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  gives rise to a self-adjoint representation of the  $*$ -algebra as follows. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  be the domain defined at the beginning of section IV. For vectors in  $\mathcal{D}_1$  the operators  $P, X_{V,W}, U$  satisfy the defining relations (1) and (2) of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . Hence there exists a unique  $*$ -representation  $\pi_1$  of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  on the domain  $\mathcal{D}_1$  such that

$$\pi_1(p) = P|_{\mathcal{D}_1}, \pi_1(x) = X_{V,W}|_{\mathcal{D}_1}, \pi_1(u) = U|_{\mathcal{D}_1}.$$

(For the notions on unbounded  $*$ -representations used in what follows we refer to the monograph<sup>9</sup>. Recall that the symbol  $T|_{\mathcal{D}_1}$  means the restriction of  $T$  to  $\mathcal{D}_1$ .)

The  $*$ -representation  $\pi_1$  is not yet self-adjoint (see ref. 9, Definition 8.1.10), because, roughly speaking,  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is not the largest possible domain. However, since the operators  $\pi_1(p)$  and  $\pi_1(x)$  are essentially self-adjoint, it follows at once from Proposition 8.1.12 (v) in ref. 9 that the adjoint representation  $\pi := (\pi_1)^*$  is self-adjoint. It is not difficult to verify that the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of the  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  is just the intersection of domains of all possible products of the operators  $P, X_{V,W}, U$  (see ref. 9, Proposition 8.1.17). From these facts it follows that the operator triple  $\{P, X_{V,W}, U\}$  is irreducible if and only if the  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  is so and that two triples are unitarily equivalent if and only if the corresponding  $*$ -representations are so. That is, Propositions 4 and 5 provide also the conditions for the irreducibility and the unitary equivalence of these  $*$ -representations of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ .

**V.2** Finally, we briefly discuss how operator representations of the  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  can be constructed by means of the Schrödinger representation  $\mathcal{P} := -i\frac{d}{dt}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} := t$  of the "ordinary" momentum and position operators.

Let us write  $q = e^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define three operators  $U, P, X$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$U = e^{iQ}, P = e^{\alpha P}, X = i(q^{-1/2}e^{-iQ} - q^{1/2}e^{iQ})e^{-\alpha P}. \quad (18)$$

The vector space  $\mathcal{D} := \text{Lin}\{e^{\gamma t - t^2}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is a dense linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since the operator  $e^{\beta P}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , acts as  $(e^{\beta P}f)(t) = f(t - \beta i)$  on functions  $f \in \mathbb{C}$  (see, for instance,

ref. 10 for a rigorous proof), the operators  $U, P, X$  satisfy the relations (1) and (2)' and the restrictions of these operators to the invariant dense domain  $\mathcal{D}$  define a  $*$ -representation of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(q)$ . This operator representation (18) appears already somewhat hidden in ref. 2. Indeed, if we change the variable  $t$  to  $e^t$ , then the operator triple  $\{U \oplus U, (-P) \oplus P, (-X) \oplus X\}$  on the direct sum Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  is easily seen to be unitarily equivalent to the triple in formula (2.2) in ref. 2.

The operator representation (18) is irreducible on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Obviously,  $U$  is unitary and  $P$  is self-adjoint. However, an essential disadvantage of the representation (18) is that the operator  $X$  is only symmetric, but not essentially self-adjoint. The latter can be shown by the argument used in the proof of Proposition A.2 in ref. 10. The reason for this failure is the fact the holomorphic function  $h(z) = q^{-1/2}e^{iz} - q^{1/2}e^{-iz}$  admits the zero  $z_o = i\frac{\alpha}{2}$  in the strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Im}z < \alpha\}$ .

## Reference

- [1] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J.: Linear Operators, Part II. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963
- [2] Fichtmüller, M., Lorek, A. and Wess, J.:  $q$ -Deformed phase space and its lattice structure. Preprint MPI-PhI/95-109, Munich, 1995
- [3] Hebecker, A., Schreckenberger, S., Schwenk, J., Weich, W. and Wess, J.: Representations of a  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebra. Z. Phys. C. **64** (1994), 335 - 359
- [4] Koelink, H.T. and Swartouw, R.F.: On the zeros of the Hahn-Extong- $q$ -Bessel function and associated  $q$ -Lommel polynomials. J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **186** (1994), 690–710
- [5] Koornwinder, T.H. and Swartouw, R.F.: On  $q$ -analogues of the Fourier and Hankel transforms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **333** (1992), 445 - 461
- [6] Lorek, A., Weich, W. and Wess, J.: Non-commutative Euclidean and Minkowski structures. Preprint, MPI-PhI/96-124, Munich, 1996
- [7] Pusz, W. and Woronowicz, S.L.: Twisted second quantization. Reports Math. Phys. **27** (1989), 231 - 257



- [8] Riesz, F. and Sz.-Nagy, B.: Vorlesungen über Funktionalanalysis. DVW, Berlin, 1956
- [9] Schmüdgen, K.: Unbounded operator algebras and representation theory. Birkhuser, Basel, 1990
- [10] Schmüdgen, K.: Integrable operator representations of  $\mathbb{R}_q^2$ ,  $X_{q,\gamma}$  and  $SL_q(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Commun. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 217-237
- [11] Schwenk, J. and Wess, J.: A  $q$ -deformed quantum mechanical toy model. Phys. Letters **B 291** (1992), 273 - 277
- [12] Topping, D.M.: Lectures on von Neumann algebras. Van Nostrand, New York, 1971
- [13] Weich, W.: The Hilbert space representations for  $SO_q(3)$ -symmetric quantum mechanics. Preprint, Munich, 1994, hep-th /9404029
- [14] Wess, J. and Zumino, B.: Covariant differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane. Nucl. Phys. B. Proc. Suppl. **18 B** (1991), 302-312